

- Last time - Defined what it means for a set X in an R -module M to generate M
- to be linearly independent
 - to be a basis (X generates M and is linearly independent)
- An R -module is cyclic iff it's generated by 1 element.
- Proved M is a cyclic R -module $\Leftrightarrow M \cong R/I$ as modules, where $I \subseteq R$ is an ideal.

Direct sums of modules

Definition Let M_1, \dots, M_n be R -modules. Their (external) direct sum is the set $M_1 \times M_2 \times \dots \times M_n = \{(m_1, \dots, m_n) \mid m_i \in M_i, i=1, \dots, n\}$ with addition and scalar multiplication defined "coordinate-wise":

$$(m_1, \dots, m_n) + (m'_1, m'_2, \dots, m'_n) := (m_1 + m'_1, \dots, m_n + m'_n)$$

$$r(m_1, \dots, m_n) := (rm_1, \dots, rm_n)$$

Notation $M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_n$ denotes the direct sum of M_1, \dots, M_n .

Note There are canonical inclusions $i_j: M_j \hookrightarrow M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_n$
 $i_j(m) = (0, \dots, 0, m, 0, \dots, 0)$, which are R -module homomorphisms.
 \uparrow j th slot

Lemma (Universal property of $M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_n$)

Let L be an R -module, $\{f_j: M_j \rightarrow L\}_{j=1}^n$ R -module homomorphisms. Then \exists unique R -module homomorphism $f: M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_n \rightarrow L$

so that $(f \circ i_j)(m_j) = f_j(m_j) \quad \forall j \quad \forall m_j \in M_j$.

Proof (Existence) Define $f: M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_n \rightarrow L$ by

$$f(m_1, \dots, m_n) = f_1(m_1) + f_2(m_2) + \dots + f_n(m_n)$$

f is a homomorphism of R -modules.

(Uniqueness) if $h: M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_n \rightarrow L$ is another homomorphism so that $h \circ i_j = f_j \quad \forall j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h(m_1, \dots, m_n) &= h((m_1, 0, \dots, 0) + (0, m_2, \dots, 0) + \dots + (0, \dots, 0, m_n)) \\ &= h(m_1, 0, \dots, 0) + h(0, m_2, \dots, 0) + \dots + h(0, \dots, 0, m_n) \\ &= (h \circ i_1)(m_1) + (h \circ i_2)(m_2) + \dots + (h \circ i_n)(m_n) \\ &= f_1(m_1) + \dots + f_n(m_n) = f(m_1, \dots, m_n). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 32.1. Let M be an R -module, $N_1, \dots, N_k \subseteq M$ R -submodules.

The following are equivalent.

1) The homomorphism $f: N_1 \oplus \dots \oplus N_k \rightarrow M$, $f(n_1, \dots, n_k) = n_1 + \dots + n_k$ is an isomorphism.

2) $\forall m \in M \exists$ unique $n_1 \in N_1, \dots, n_k \in N_k$ so that $m = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k$.

3) $N_1 + \dots + N_k = M$ and $N_j \cap (N_1 + \dots + N_{j-1} + N_{j+1} + \dots + N_k) = 0 \quad \forall j$.
(here and elsewhere $N_1 + \dots + N_k = \{n_1 + \dots + n_k \mid n_j \in N_j \cup \{0\}\}$; it's a submodule of M)

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose (1): f is an isomorphism. Then $\forall m \in M$

$\exists!$ $(n_1, \dots, n_k) \in N_1 \oplus \dots \oplus N_k$ s.t. $m = f(n_1, \dots, n_k) = n_1 + \dots + n_k$. Hence (2).

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Suppose (2). Then $f: N_1 \oplus \dots \oplus N_k \rightarrow M$ is 1-1 and onto, hence an isomorphism of R modules. Hence (1).

(1) \Rightarrow (3) Since f is onto, $M = f(N_1 \oplus \dots \oplus N_k) \subseteq N_1 + \dots + N_k$.

Suppose $N_j \cap (N_1 + \dots + N_{j-1} + N_{j+1} + \dots + N_k) \neq 0$.

Then $\exists n_j \in N_j$, $i=1, \dots, k$ s.t. $n_j = n_1 + \dots + n_{j-1} + n_{j+1} + \dots + n_k$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = n_1 + \dots + n_{j-1} + (-n_j) + n_{j+1} + \dots + n_k = f(n_1, \dots, n_{j-1}, -n_j, n_{j+1}, \dots, n_k)$$

Contradiction since f is 1-1 and $f(0, \dots, 0) = 0$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) $M = N_1 + \dots + N_k \Rightarrow f$ is onto

$$\ker f = \{(n_1, \dots, n_k) \in N_1 \oplus \dots \oplus N_k \mid n_1 + \dots + n_k = 0\}$$

if f is not 1-1, $\exists (n_1, \dots, n_k) \in N_1 \oplus \dots \oplus N_k$, not all zero, s.t.

$$n_1 + \dots + n_k = 0. \quad \text{Say } n_j \neq 0. \quad \text{Then } -n_j = (n_1 + \dots + n_{j-1} + n_{j+1} + \dots + n_k)$$

which contradicts $N_j \cap (N_1 + \dots + N_{j-1} + N_{j+1} + \dots + N_k) = 0$. \square

Remark A module M is an internal direct sum of submodules N_1, \dots, N_k iff $\forall m \in M \exists$ unique $n_1 \in N_1, \dots, n_k \in N_k$ s.t. $m = n_1 + \dots + n_k$.

Proposition 32.1 implies:

M is an internal direct sum of $N_1, \dots, N_k \iff$

M is isomorphic to the external direct sum $N_1 \oplus \dots \oplus N_k$.

From now on we won't distinguish between external and internal direct sums. \square

Aside If $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a collection of R modules indexed by a set I , one can still define the direct sum

$\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ as follows:

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i := \left\{ (m_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} M_i \mid m_i = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } i \text{'s} \right\}$$

There are injective maps $i_j: M_j \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ $i_j(m) := (m_i)_{i \in I}$, $m_i = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

Then given a module L and homomorphisms $\{f_i: M_i \rightarrow L\}_{i \in I}$

there exists a unique homomorphism

$f: \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i \rightarrow L$ given by

$$f((m_i)_{i \in I}) = \sum_{i \in I} f_i(m_i)$$

Note that all but finitely many terms in the sum $\sum_{i \in I} f_i(m_i)$ are zero, so there is no worry about convergence.

Structure theorem for modules over a PID (invariant factor form)

Let R be a PID, and M a finitely generated R -module.

Then $\exists r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ (nonzero non-units) so that

$$a_1 \mid a_2, a_2 \mid a_3, \dots, a_{n-1} \mid a_n$$

and $M \simeq \underbrace{R \oplus \dots \oplus R}_r \oplus R/(a_1) \oplus R/(a_2) \oplus \dots \oplus R/(a_m)$
 r is called the free rank of M .

Two finitely generated R -modules are isomorphic \Leftrightarrow
 they have the same free rank and the same list of the
 invariant factors a_1, \dots, a_m (up to associates)

It will take us some time to prove the structure theorem.

The structure theorem has another form:

Recall that

Thm Structure theorem for finitely generated modules over a PID,
 (elementary divisor form)

Let R be a PID, M a finitely generated R -module. Then

$$\Rightarrow M \simeq \underbrace{R \oplus \dots \oplus R}_r \oplus R/\langle p_1^{e_1} \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus R/\langle p_d^{e_d} \rangle$$

where $p_1, \dots, p_d \in R$ are irreducibles and e_1, \dots, e_d pos integers.
 $p_i^{e_i}$'s are called elementary divisors.

Ex $R = \mathbb{Z}$, $M = \mathbb{Z}_6$. Then $M = \mathbb{Z}/\langle 6 \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/\langle 2 \rangle \oplus \mathbb{Z}/\langle 3 \rangle$

The free rank of \mathbb{Z}_6 is 0, 6 is the invariant factor,

2, 3 are the elementary divisors.

To show that the two versions of the structure theorem
 are equivalent, we'll need the Chinese remainder theorem:

Thm R commutative ring, $I_1, \dots, I_k \in R$ ideals with $I_i + I_j = R$
 for all $i \neq j$. Then

$$R / \underbrace{\langle I_1, \dots, I_k \rangle}_{\text{product of ideals}} \simeq (R/I_1) \oplus \dots \oplus (R/I_k) \quad (\text{as rings, hence as } R\text{-modules})$$