

LAST TIME: Defined submodules of an R -module

• Proved: for a field F there is a bijection

$$\{(V, T: V \rightarrow V) \mid V \text{ vector space over } F, T: V \rightarrow V \text{ linear map}\} \\ \leftrightarrow F[x]\text{-modules};$$

Given $T: V \rightarrow V$, $p(x) \in F[x]$, $p(x) \cdot v = p(T)v$

Conversely given $F[x] \times V \rightarrow V$, V is an F -module (since $F \subseteq F[x]$) and the map $x \cdot - : V \rightarrow V$, $v \mapsto x \cdot v$ is F -linear.

• defined homomorphisms of R -modules

• Proved that if N is a submodule of an R -module M then the quotient abelian group $M/N = \{m+N \mid m \in M\}$

is an R -module with $r \cdot (m+N) = (r \cdot m) + N$. $\forall r \in R$

Thm (1st isomorphism theorem for modules). Let $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ be a homomorphism of R -modules. Then

$$\bar{\varphi}: M/\ker \varphi \rightarrow \text{im } \varphi, \quad \bar{\varphi}(x + \ker \varphi) = \varphi(x)$$

is a well-defined isomorphism of R -modules.

Proof Since $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ is a homomorphism of abelian groups, the 1st iso thm for abelian groups applies. \Rightarrow

$$\bar{\varphi}: M/\ker \varphi \rightarrow \text{im } \varphi \cong \varphi(M), \quad \bar{\varphi}(x + \ker \varphi) = \varphi(x)$$

is a well-defined isomorphism of abelian groups.

Moreover, $\forall r \in R$, $\forall x \in M$

$$\bar{\varphi}(r \cdot (x + \ker \varphi)) = \bar{\varphi}((rx) + \ker \varphi) = \varphi(rx) = r \varphi(x) = r \cdot \bar{\varphi}(x + \ker \varphi)$$

$\Rightarrow \bar{\varphi}$ is a bijective homomorphism of R -modules, hence $\bar{\varphi}$ is an isomorphism of R -modules.

Generators

"Recall" A vector space V over a field F is generated by a set $X \subseteq V$ iff every $v \in V$ is a finite linear combination of elements of X : $\forall v \in V \exists n > 0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in X, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in F$ so that

$$v = \lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n.$$

Ex F a field, $V = F[x]$ $X = \{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^n, \dots\} \equiv \{x^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ generates $F[x]$ because $\forall p(x) \in F[x]$, $\exists n$ and $a_0, \dots, a_n \in F$ st $p(x) = a_0 \cdot 1 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n$.

Definition Let M be an R -module. A subset $X \subseteq M$ generates M if $\forall m \in M \exists n > 0, r_1, \dots, r_n \in R, x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ st $m = r_1 x_1 + \dots + r_n x_n$.

Ex $M = \mathbb{Z}$, a \mathbb{Z} -module. $X = \{5, 6\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ generates \mathbb{Z} since $1 = (-1) \cdot 5 + 1 \cdot 6 \Rightarrow m = (-m) \cdot 5 + m \cdot 6 \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

"Fancy" view of generating sets of modules. (see homework)

1. $\forall R$ -module M , \forall set \mathcal{S} of submodules of M

$$\bigcap_{N \in \mathcal{S}} N \text{ is a submodule of } M.$$

2. $\forall R$ -module M , \forall set $X \subseteq M, X \neq \emptyset$

$\mathcal{S} = \{N \subseteq M \mid N \text{ submodule and } X \subseteq N\} \neq \emptyset$ since $M \in \mathcal{S}$ and then $\langle X \rangle = \bigcap_{N \in \mathcal{S}} N = \bigcap_{X \subseteq N} N$ is a submodule of M

3. $\langle X \rangle =$ set of finite linear combinations of elements of X

4. A set $X \subseteq M$ generates $M \Leftrightarrow M = \langle X \rangle$.

Definition An R -module M is finitely generated iff it is generated by a finite set

An R -module M is cyclic iff it is generated by a 1-element set $\{x\}$.

Ex Any finite dimensional vector space over a field F is a finitely generated F -module.

Ex For any ring R the module R is generated by the set $\{1_R\}$ $\forall r \in R, r = r \cdot 1_R$.

Ex Let R be a ring, $I \subseteq R$ an ideal. Then I is an R -module. I is a cyclic R -module $\Leftrightarrow I$ is principal.

Ex Cyclic abelian groups \mathbb{Z}_n are generated by $[1] : [k] = k \cdot [1]$
Hence they are cyclic \mathbb{Z} -modules

Proposition 31.1 Let M be a cyclic R -module. Then M is isomorphic, as an R -module, to R/I for some ideal $I \subseteq R$.

Proof Suppose $x \in M$ generates M : $\forall m \in M \exists r \in R$ st $m = r \cdot x$

Consider $\varphi : R \rightarrow M, \varphi(r) = r \cdot x$.

φ is surjective. Also: $\forall r_1, r_2 \in R$

$$\varphi(r_1 + r_2) = (r_1 + r_2) \cdot x = r_1 \cdot x + r_2 \cdot x = \varphi(r_1) + \varphi(r_2)$$

$$\varphi(ra) = (ra) \cdot x = r \cdot (a \cdot x) = r \cdot \varphi(a)$$

$\Rightarrow \varphi$ is a homomorphism of R -modules.

Let $I = \ker \varphi$. $I \subseteq R$ is a submodule, hence an ideal.

1^{st} iso thm for modules \Rightarrow

$$\bar{\varphi} : R/I \rightarrow M, \bar{\varphi}(r+I) = r \cdot x$$

is a well-defined isomorphism of R -modules.

We would like to have a definition of a basis of an R -module.
For that we need a notion of linear independence.

Def A subset X of an R -module M is linearly independent
if $\forall n > 0, \forall r_1, \dots, r_n \in R, x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$

$$r_1 x_1 + \dots + r_n x_n = 0 \Rightarrow r_1 = r_2 = \dots = r_n = 0.$$

Ex $M = F[x]$ (F a field), $X = \{x^i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ is linearly
independent: $\forall n, \lambda_1 x^{k_1} + \dots + \lambda_n x^{k_n} = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_n = 0$

Non Ex $M = \mathbb{Z}_n, a \mathbb{Z}$ -module. $X = \{[1]\}$

is not linearly independent since $n \cdot [1] = [n] = [0]$
while $n \neq 0$.

Def Let M be an R -module. A subset $X \subseteq M$ is a basis
if (1) X generates M and (2) X is linearly independent.

Note An R -module need not have a basis.

Eg \mathbb{Z}_n is a \mathbb{Z} -module with no basis, since $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_n, n \cdot x = 0$.

Eg Let F be a field, $V = F^n, T: V \rightarrow V$ linear map, $T \neq 0$

Then $Tv = Av$ for some $n \times n$ matrix $A, A \neq 0$.

Recall: $p_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I_n - A) \in F[\lambda]$ has the property

that $p_A(A) = 0$ (Cayley-Hamilton)

Hence $p_A(x) \cdot v = 0 \quad \forall v \in F^n$

\Rightarrow no subset of F^n is linearly independent
when we view F^n as an $F[x]$ -module.