

- Last time:
- If I is an ideal in a ring R then R/I is a ring and $\pi: R \rightarrow R/I$, $\pi(a) = a+I$ is a ring homomorphism.
 - 1st isomorphism theorem: $\phi: R \rightarrow S$ unital homomorphism, $I = \ker \phi$. Then $\bar{\phi}: R/I \rightarrow \phi(R)$, $\bar{\phi}(a+I) = \phi(a)$ is an isomorphism of rings.
 - If R is commutative then $aR := \{ar \mid r \in R\}$ is an ideal in R .

Aside: If $\{I_a\}_{a \in A}$ is a family of ideals in a ring R then

$$I = \bigcap_{a \in A} I_a$$

is also an ideal. (exercise)

Hence if set S , $\langle S \rangle = \bigcap_{\substack{\text{Ideal} \\ S \subseteq I}} I$ is an ideal.

It's the smallest ideal containing the set S .

Not hard to show: if $S = \{a\}$ and R is commutative then $\langle \{a\} \rangle = aR$.

Definition: let R be a ring. An element $b \in R$ is a zero divisor if $b \neq 0$ and $\exists a \in R$, $a \neq 0$ so that either $ab = 0$ or $ba = 0$. (or both)

Ex: $R = M_2(\mathbb{R})$ $b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $b^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
 $\Rightarrow b$ is a zero divisor.

Ex: $R = \mathbb{Z}_6$. Then $[2], [3], [4]$ are zero divisors since $[2][3] = [0]$ and $[3][4] = [2] = [0]$.

Lemma 24.1 Let R be a ring. Then

$$\{a+R \mid a \text{ is a zero divisor}\} \cap \{u+R \mid u \text{ a unit}\} = \emptyset.$$

Proof: Suppose b is a zero divisor and a unit.

Then $\exists a \in R, a \neq 0$ s.t. $ab = 0$ or $ba = 0$. Say $ab = 0$.

Since b is a unit, $\exists v \in R$ s.t. $bv = 1$. And then

$$0 = 0v = (ab)v = a(bv) = a$$

Contradiction since $a \neq 0$. D

Ex In \mathbb{Z} , ± 1 s are units and there are no zero divisors

So $2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ is neither a unit nor a zero divisor.

In $\mathbb{Z}_6[x]$, $x = [1]_x$ is neither a unit nor a zero divisor.

Lemma 24.2 Let R be a ring, $a \in R$ s.t. $a \neq 0$ and $ax = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0 \quad \forall x \in R$

Then $ab = ac \Rightarrow b = c$ for all $b, c \in R$.

Proof $ab = ac \Rightarrow 0 = ab - ac = a(b - c)$. Hence $b - c = 0$

by the property of a . $\Rightarrow b = c$. D

Definition A ring R is an integral domain iff

- 1) R is commutative
- 2) R has no zero divisors

Note: 1) For any integral domain R , $\forall a \in R, a \neq 0$

$$ab = ac \Rightarrow b = c$$

by 24.2.

2) Any subring of an integral domain is an integral domain.

3) Any field is an integral domain since any nonzero element in a field is a unit.

Lemma 24.3 Any finite integral domain is a field.

Proof Let D be a finite integral domain, $a \in D$, $a \neq 0$

Consider $f: D \rightarrow D$, $f(b) = ab$

Then $ab = ac \Rightarrow b = c$. $\Rightarrow f$ is injective

Since D is finite, f has to be surjective $\Rightarrow \exists v \in D$

$$\text{st } 1 = f(v) = av \quad (=va)$$

$\Rightarrow a$ is a unit.

$\therefore D$ is a field. □

Lemma 24.4 \mathbb{Z}_n is an integral domain $\Leftrightarrow n$ is prime

Hence \mathbb{Z}_p is a field $\Leftrightarrow p$ is prime.

Proof \mathbb{Z}_n is an integral domain \Leftrightarrow

$$\forall k, l \in \mathbb{Z} \quad [k][l] = [0] \Leftrightarrow [k] = [0] \text{ or } [l] = [0]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall k, l \in \mathbb{Z} \quad n \mid kl \Rightarrow n \mid k \text{ or } n \mid l$$

$\Leftrightarrow n$ is prime. □

Proposition 24.5 Let D be an integral domain. Then

$$\forall f, g \in D[x], \quad \deg(fg) = \deg f + \deg g,$$

Proof If f or g is zero, nothing to prove. $-\infty = -\infty$.

Suppose $f, g \neq 0$. Then $f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n$ for some n , some a_0, \dots, a_n with $a_n \neq 0$,

$g(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_m x^m$, some m , some b_1, \dots, b_m , $b_m \neq 0$.

And then

$$f(x)g(x) = a_n b_m x^{n+m} + \text{lower order terms.}$$

Since D is an integral domain, $a_n, b_m \neq 0$, $a_n \cdot b_m \neq 0$

$$\Rightarrow \deg(fg) = n+m = \deg f + \deg g \quad \square$$

Definition An ideal I in a ring R is principal if

$$I = \langle a \rangle \text{ for some } a \in R$$

(If R is commutative, $I = \langle a \rangle \Leftrightarrow I = aR$)

In \mathbb{Z} all ideals are principal. In $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ there are non principal ideals

Claim $\langle 2, x \rangle = \langle 4, x^2 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is not a principal ideal.

Proof Suppose $\langle 2, x \rangle = \langle p \rangle$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$

Then $2 \in \langle p \rangle$ hence $2 = pq$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

$$\Rightarrow 0 = \deg 2 = \deg pq = \deg p + \deg q \Rightarrow \deg p = \deg q = 0$$

$\Rightarrow p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since 2 is prime, $p = \pm 1$ or $p = \pm 2$.

$$\text{Now } \langle 2, x \rangle = \{a(x) \cdot 2 + b(x) \cdot x \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}[x]\}$$

$$= \{1, 2a_0 + xc \mid a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, c(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]\}$$

If $p = \pm 1$, $\langle p \rangle = \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

$$\Rightarrow 1 \in \langle 2, x \rangle. \Rightarrow \exists a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, c(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \text{ s.t.}$$

$$1 = 2 \cdot a_0 + xc \cdot c(x)$$

This is impossible since $2 \nmid 1$.

If $p = \pm 2$, then $x \in \langle \pm 2 \rangle = 2\mathbb{Z}[x]$, i.e. $\exists b_0, \dots, b_n$ s.t.

$$x = 2b_0 + 2b_1x + \dots + 2b_nx^n \text{ (for some } n\text{)}$$

This is impossible again.

Thm (Division algorithm for $F[x]$, F a field)

Let F be a field, $f, g \in F[x]$, $g \neq 0$. Then there exist unique

$$q(x), r(x) \in F[x] \text{ s.t. 1) } f = qg + r \text{ and}$$

$$2) \quad 0 \leq \deg r < \deg g.$$

Corollary Any ideal I in $F[x]$ (F a field) is principal: $\exists p \in F[x]$

$$\text{s.t. } I = \langle p \rangle = pF[x].$$

Proof If $I = 0$, i.e. $I = \{0\}$, let $p = 0$. Otherwise let

$$S = \{\deg f \mid f \in I, \deg f \geq 0\}$$

Since $I \neq 0$, $S \neq \emptyset$. By well-ordering principle

$\exists n \in S$ s.t. $n = \min S$. Then $\exists p \in I$ s.t. $n = \deg p$. Note: $p \neq 0$!

For any $f \in I$ $\exists q, r$ s.t. $f = qp + r$, $\deg r < \deg p$.

(Since) $f, p \in I$, $r = f - qp \in I$. $\deg r < \deg p \Rightarrow \deg r \notin S$.

$\Rightarrow \deg r = -\infty$ and $r = 0$. $\Rightarrow f \in \langle p \rangle$, $\Rightarrow I \subseteq \langle p \rangle \subseteq I$.