

Last time: • Proved the substitution principle: $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ unital 23.1

homomorphism of commutative rings, $\alpha \in S \Rightarrow \exists! \varphi_\alpha: R[x] \rightarrow S$ (homomorphism)

$$\text{s.t. } \varphi_\alpha(a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n) = \varphi(a_0) + \varphi(a_1)\alpha + \dots + \varphi(a_n)\alpha^n.$$

• Defined ideals in a ring

• $\ker(f: R \rightarrow S) := \{r \in R \mid f(r) = 0\}$ is an ideal in R .

"Ex" $\pi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$, $\pi(k) = [k]$ is a ring homomorphism. \Rightarrow
 $\ker \pi = n\mathbb{Z}$ is an ideal in \mathbb{Z} .

"Ex" $ev_\alpha: R[x] \rightarrow R$ $ev_\alpha(\sum a_i x^i) = \sum a_i \alpha^i$ is a homomorphism.
 $\Rightarrow \ker(ev_\alpha) = \{p(x) \in R[x] \mid p(\alpha) := ev_\alpha(p) = 0\}$
is an ideal.

Definition Let R be a commutative ring, $\alpha \in R$ is a root of $p(x) \in R[x]$
iff $p(\alpha) = 0$ (iff $p \in \ker ev_\alpha$)

Ex $i \in \mathbb{C}$ is a root of $x^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{C}[x]$

Ex let p be a prime. Then $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}$ $a^p = a$

$\Rightarrow \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a root of $q(x) = x^p - x (= [1]x^p + [-1]x)$

Note The function $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ $f(a) = a^p - a$ is
the zero function, but $q(x) = x^p - x \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$
is a non zero polynomial.

We have seen:

Lemma 23.1 Let I be an ideal in a ring R .

$$1_R \in I \Leftrightarrow I = R.$$

Corollary 23.2 Let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal. I contains a unit \Leftrightarrow

$$\Leftrightarrow I = R.$$

Proof (\Leftarrow) If $I = R$, $\pm 1 \in I$, which are both units.

(\Rightarrow) If u is a unit, $\exists v \in R$ st $1 = uv$.

So if $u \in I$, $1 = uv \in I$ as well. $\Rightarrow I = R$. \square

Corollary 23.3 The only ideals in a field F are 0 and F .

Proof Let $I \subseteq F$ be an ideal. If $I \neq 0$, $\exists u \in I$ st $u \neq 0$.

Since F is a field, u is a unit. $\Rightarrow I = F$. \square

Theorem 23.4 Let R be a ring, $I \subseteq R$ an ideal. The quotient group $(R/I, +, 0+I)$ has a well-defined multiplication \cdot which is given by

$$(a+I) \cdot (b+I) = (ab)+I \quad \forall a+I, b+I \in R/I.$$

With this multiplication $(R/I, +, 0+I, 1+I)$ is a ring and

$\pi: R \rightarrow R/I$, $\pi(a) = a+I$ is a surjective ring homomorphism.

Sketch of proof We check that \cdot is well-defined.

Suppose $a+I = a'+I$, $b+I = b'+I$ for some $a, a', b, b' \in R$. Then

$a = a' + i_1$, $b = b' + i_2$ for some $i_1, i_2 \in I$. And then

$$ab = (a' + i_1)(b' + i_2) = a'b' + i_1 b' + a' i_2 + i_1 i_2$$

Since I is an ideal, $i_1, i_2 \in I$, $i_1 b', a' i_2, i_1 i_2 \in I \Rightarrow i_1 b' + a' i_2 + i_1 i_2 \in I$.

$$\Rightarrow ab - a'b' \in I$$

$$\Rightarrow ab + I = a'b' + I$$

$\Rightarrow \cdot$ is well-defined

It's easy to check that \cdot distributes over $+$:

$$((a+I) + (b+I)) \cdot (c+I) = ((a+b)+I) \cdot (c+I) = (a+b)c + I$$

$$= (ac + bc) + I = (ac+I) + (bc+I) = (a+I)(c+I) + (b+I)(c+I)$$

Similarly

$$(c+I) \cdot ((a+I) + (b+I)) = (c+I) \cdot (a+I) + (c+I) \cdot (b+I)$$

and so on... \square

Exercise For any unital homomorphism $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ the image $\varphi(R) := \{\varphi(r) \mid r \in R\}$ is a subring of S .

Theorem (1st isomorphism theorem for rings) Let $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ be a (unital) ring homomorphism, and $I = \ker \varphi$. Then

$$\bar{\varphi}: R/I \rightarrow S, \quad \bar{\varphi}(a+I) = \varphi(a)$$

is a well defined injective ring homomorphism. In particular

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & S \\ \uparrow \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ R/I & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & \varphi(R) \end{array} \text{ commutes and } \bar{\varphi}: R/I \rightarrow \varphi(R) \text{ is an iso.}$$

Proof We know that $\bar{\varphi}: R/I \rightarrow \varphi(R)$, $\bar{\varphi}(a+I) = \varphi(a)$, is a well-defined isomorphism of abelian groups making the diagram above commute.

Remains to check that $\bar{\varphi}$ preserves multiplication. This is easy:

$$\bar{\varphi}((a+I)(b+I)) = \bar{\varphi}(ab+I) = \varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b) = \bar{\varphi}(a+I)\bar{\varphi}(b+I). \quad \square$$

Ex let $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$, R is a subring of $M_2(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ 0 & c' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} aa' & ab'+bc' \\ 0 & cc' \end{pmatrix}$$

So is closed under matrix multiplication. Also $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ 0 & c' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a-a' & b-b' \\ 0 & c-c' \end{pmatrix}$

$\therefore R$ is a (unital) subring of $M_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Consider $\varphi: R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\varphi\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}\right) = a$. It's easy to see

that φ preserves $+$ and \cdot , hence is a homomorphism.

$$\ker \varphi = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \mid a=0 \right\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \mid b, c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

$\varphi: R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is clearly onto. Hence

$$\bar{\varphi}: R/\ker \varphi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \bar{\varphi}\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} + \ker \varphi\right) = a$$

is a well-defined isomorphism of rings.

Lemma 23.5 Let R be a commutative ring. For any $a \in R$ the set

$$aR = \{ar \mid r \in R\}$$

is an ideal in R .

Proof Since $0 = a \cdot 0$, $0 \in \langle a \rangle$. In particular $\langle a \rangle \neq \emptyset$.

$\forall x_1, x_2 \in \langle a \rangle \exists r_1, r_2 \in R$ st $x_1 = ar_1$, $x_2 = ar_2$.

And then $x_1 - x_2 = ar_1 - ar_2 = a(r_1 - r_2) \in \langle a \rangle$.

Finally $\forall q \in R, ar \in \langle a \rangle \quad qar = gra \in \langle a \rangle$

and $(ar)q = a(rq) \in \langle a \rangle$.

$\therefore \langle a \rangle$ is an ideal in R .

□

Remark R is not commutative, aR need not be an ideal.

Ex $R = M_2(\mathbb{R})$, $a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{21} & b_{22} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow aR = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

But

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \notin aR.$$

Remark If I is an ideal in \mathbb{Z} , then I is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z} .

$\Rightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}$ st $I = n\mathbb{Z}$ and $n\mathbb{Z}$ is an ideal in \mathbb{Z} .

\therefore all ideals in \mathbb{Z} are of the form $n\mathbb{Z}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Recall To prove that any subgroup of \mathbb{Z} is of the form $n\mathbb{Z}$ for some n we used the division algorithm.

We'll prove: Let F be a field, $f, g \in F[x]$, $g \neq 0$. Then

There exist unique $q, r \in F[x]$ st $f = qg + r$ and $\deg r < \deg g$.

From this fact one can deduce: if $I \subset F[x]$ is an ideal then

$$I = aF[x] \quad \text{for some } a \in F[x]$$