

Last time: Defined rings and polynomial rings (with coefficients in a commutative ring). Defined degree of a polynomial.

Definition A subset  $S$  of a ring  $R$  is a subring iff

- 1)  $S$  is a subgroup of  $(R, +, 0)$
- 2)  $\forall a, b \in S, ab \in S$  (ie  $S$  is "closed under multiplication".)

Ex. For a commutative ring  $R$ ,  $R$  is a subring of  $R[x]$  (as polynomials of degree  $\leq 0$ )

- we usually think of  $\mathbb{Z}$  as subring of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , of  $\mathbb{Q}$  as a subring of  $\mathbb{R}$ , of  $\mathbb{R}$  as a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Definition Let  $R, R'$  be two rings. A map  $f: R \rightarrow R'$  is a (ring) homomorphism iff  $f$  preserves  $+$  and  $\cdot$ :

$$f(a+b) = f(a) + f(b) \quad \forall a, b \in R$$

$$f(ab) = f(a)f(b) \quad \forall a, b \in R$$

A ring homomorphism  $f: R \rightarrow R'$  is unital if  $f(1_R) = 1_{R'}$ .

Ex  $\pi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n, \pi(k) = [k]$  is a unital homomorphism  $\forall n$ .

Ex  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{R}), f(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is a homomorphism

$$\text{since } f(a) + f(b) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a+b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = f(a+b)$$

$$f(a) \cdot f(b) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ab & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = f(ab)$$

$f$  is not unital since

$$1_{M_2(\mathbb{R})} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = f(1).$$

Proposition 22.1 ("substitution principle")

Let  $\varphi: R \rightarrow R'$  be a homomorphism between two comm. rings

For any  $\alpha \in R'$ , there is a unique homomorphism  $\varphi_\alpha: R[x] \rightarrow R'$

so that (1)  $\varphi_\alpha(r) = \varphi(r) \quad \forall r \in R \subseteq R[x]$  and

$$(2) \varphi_\alpha(x) = \alpha$$

[Aside: if  $R$  is not unital then  $x := 1_R \cdot x \in R[x]$  does not make sense]

Proof (Uniqueness) Suppose  $\psi: R[x] \rightarrow R'$  is another homomorphism

so that (1) & (2) hold. Then  $\forall p(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n \in R[x]$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p) &= \psi(a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \dots + a_n x^n) = \psi(a_0) + \psi(a_1)\psi(x) + \psi(a_2)(\psi(x))^2 + \dots \\ &\quad + \psi(a_n)(\psi(x))^n = \varphi(a_0) + \varphi(a_1)\alpha + \varphi(a_2)\alpha^2 + \dots + \varphi(a_n)\alpha^n \end{aligned}$$

$$\dots = \varphi_\alpha(a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n) = \varphi_\alpha(p).$$

$\therefore \psi = \varphi_\alpha$  and  $\varphi_\alpha$  with these properties is unique.

(existence)

Define  $\varphi_\alpha: R[x] \rightarrow R'$  by  $\varphi_\alpha(a_0 + \dots + a_n x^n) := \varphi(a_0) + \varphi(a_1)\alpha + \dots + \varphi(a_n)\alpha^n$ .

Then

$$\varphi_\alpha \left( \left( \sum a_i x^i \right) \left( \sum b_j x^j \right) \right) = \varphi_\alpha \left( \sum_k \left( \sum_{i+j=k} a_i b_j \right) x^k \right) = \sum_k \varphi \left( \sum_{i+j=k} a_i b_j \right) \alpha^k$$

$$= \sum_k \left( \sum_{i+j=k} \varphi(a_i) \varphi(b_j) \alpha^i \alpha^j \right) = \left( \sum_i \varphi(a_i) \alpha^i \right) \left( \sum_j \varphi(b_j) \alpha^j \right) =$$

$$= \varphi_\alpha \left( \sum a_i x^i \right) \cdot \varphi_\alpha \left( \sum b_j x^j \right) \Rightarrow \varphi_\alpha \text{ preserves } \cdot.$$

Similarly  $\varphi_\alpha$  preserves  $+$ .

□

Note: if  $\varphi$  is unital then so is  $\varphi_\alpha$  since

$$\varphi_\alpha(1_R) = \varphi(1_R) = 1_{R'}.$$

Special case 1  $R' = R$ ,  $\varphi = \text{id}_R$ . Then  $\varphi_\alpha: R[x] \rightarrow R$  is given by

$$\varphi_\alpha \left( \sum a_i x^i \right) = \sum a_i \alpha^i$$

This map is called "evaluation at  $\alpha$ ". One usually writes

$$p(\alpha) \text{ for } \varphi_\alpha(p) \quad \forall p \in R[x].$$

Occasionally we'll write  $\text{ev}_\alpha$  for  $\varphi_\alpha$ .

$$\text{Thus } ev_d \left( \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \alpha^i.$$

Note:  $ev_d: R[x] \rightarrow R$  is a unital homomorphism.

Special case let  $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism. The inclusion

$\tau: S \hookrightarrow S[x]$   $\tau(a_0) = a_0$  is also a ring homomorphism

Hence  $\tau \circ \varphi: R \rightarrow S[x]$  is a ring homomorphism.

Exercise If  $f: A \rightarrow B$ ,  $g: B \rightarrow C$  are two ring homomorphisms Then so is  $g \circ f: A \rightarrow C$ .

Let  $\alpha = \gamma = \tau_S \circ \gamma \in S[y]$ . Then  $\varphi_\alpha: R[x] \rightarrow S[y]$  is given by  $\varphi \left( \sum_{i=0}^k a_i x^i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^k \varphi(a_i) \gamma^i \quad \forall \sum a_i x^i \in R[x]$ .  
Again, if  $\varphi$  is unital, so is  $\varphi_\alpha$ .

Example  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $S = \mathbb{Z}_n$   $\varphi = \pi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$ ,  $\pi(k) = [k]$

We then have a unital homomorphism

$$\psi: \mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n[x], \quad \psi \left( \sum_{i=0}^k a_i x^i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^k [a_i] x^i$$

This homomorphism  $\psi$  is called "reduction of scalars modulo  $n$ ."

The analogues of normal subgroups in ring theory are called ideals. Ideals are not (sub) rings.

Definition An ideal  $I$  in a ring  $R$  is a subgroup of  $(R, +, 0)$  (i.e.  $I \neq \emptyset$  and  $\forall a, b \in I$ ,  $a - b \in I$ ) and  $\forall i \in I$ ,  $\forall r \in R$   
 $- ir \in I$  and  $ri \in I$ .

Example  $\forall n, 1 \quad n\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  is an ideal.

We know  $n\mathbb{Z}$  is a subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, 0)$ . Additionally

$$\forall a \in \mathbb{Z} \quad i \in n\mathbb{Z} \quad ai = ia \in n\mathbb{Z}.$$

For any ring  $R$ ,  $0 := \{0\}$  and  $R$  are ideals in  $R$

Remark if  $R$  is commutative, then  $ir = ri \forall r, i \in R$   
 so one condition in the def of ideal is redundant.

Ex  $R$  comm. ring. Then  $\langle x \rangle = \{x p(x) \mid p(x) \in R[x]\}$

is an ideal in  $R[x]$ :  $\langle x \rangle \neq \emptyset$  since  $x \in \langle x \rangle$

$\forall p, q \in R[x]$ ,  $x p(x) - x q(x) = x(p(x) - q(x)) \in \langle x \rangle$ .  $\Rightarrow \langle x \rangle$  is a subgroup

$\forall p, q \in R[x]$   $(x p(x)) \cdot q(x) \in \langle x \rangle$ .

$\Rightarrow \langle x \rangle$  is an ideal.

Definition The kernel of a ring homomorphism  $f: R \rightarrow S$  is

$$\ker f := \{r \in R \mid f(r) = 0_S\}.$$

Lemma 22.3 The kernel of a ring homomorphism  $f: R \rightarrow S$  is an ideal.

Proof Since  $f: (R, +, 0) \rightarrow (S, +, 0)$  is a group homomorphism,

$\ker f \subseteq R$  is a subgroup.

Moreover,  $\forall r \in R, \forall i \in \ker f$

$$f(ri) = f(r)f(i) = f(r) \cdot 0 = 0 \Rightarrow ri \in \ker f.$$

$$f(ir) = f(i)f(r) = 0 f(r) = 0 \Rightarrow ir \in \ker f.$$

Ex  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{R}) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$  is a subring of  $M_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

It is not an ideal in  $M_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

In fact, in any ring if  $I \subseteq R$  is an ideal and  $1 \in I$

then  $I = R$ . [why?]