

- Last time Suppose $f: R \rightarrow S$ is a homomorphism of rings.
- (1) If $J \subseteq S$ is an ideal, then $f^{-1}(J)$ is an ideal in R
 - (2) If f is onto and $I \subseteq R$ is an ideal, then $f(I)$ is an ideal in S
 - (3) If $f: R \rightarrow S$ is onto, $I \subseteq R$ is an ideal then

$$f^{-1}(f(I)) = I + \ker f \quad (\text{sum of ideals})$$

Def An ideal M in a ring R is maximal if $M \neq R$ and there is no ideal $I \subseteq R$ with $M \subsetneq I \subsetneq R$.
That is, if $M \subseteq I$ then either $M=I$ or $I=R$.

Lemma 30.3 (similar to 6.3.14) Let R be a commutative ring (with 1). An ideal $M \subseteq R$ is maximal $\Leftrightarrow R/M$ is a field.

We proved: M maximal $\Rightarrow R/M$ is a field.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose R/M is a field, $M \subseteq I$ for some ideal I .
Since $\pi: R \rightarrow R/M$ is onto, $\pi(I)$ is an ideal in R/M .

Since R/M is a field, $\pi(I)$ is either $\{0_{R/M}\}$ or R/M .

If $\pi(I) = \{0\}$, $\forall i \in I$, $0_{R/M} = \pi(i) \Rightarrow 0 + M = i + M$
 $\Rightarrow i \in M \Rightarrow I \subseteq M \Rightarrow I = M$ (since $M \subseteq I$)

If $\pi(I) = R/M$, $\pi^{-1}(\pi(I)) = \pi^{-1}(R/M) = R$

$\pi^{-1}(\pi(I)) = I + \ker \pi = I + M = I$ (since $M \subseteq I$)

$\therefore I = R$

\square

Recall

Def 6.4.1 Let R be a commutative ring. A zero-divisor is $x \in R$ s.t. $x \neq 0$ and $\exists y \in R$ with $y \neq 0$, $xy = 0$.

Ex In \mathbb{Z}_6 , $[2], [3], [4]$ are zero divisors.

Ex Let $R = \mathbb{R}[x]/I$ where $I = (x-1)(x+2) \mathbb{R}[x]$

Then $(x-1)+I$, $(x+2)+I$ are zero divisors in R :

$x-1, x+2 \notin I$ since if $g \in I$, $g = q(x) \cdot (x-1)(x+2)$
for some $q(x)$. $\Rightarrow \deg g \geq 2$ or $g = 0$, and $x-1, x+2 \notin I$.

But $\deg(x-1), \deg(x+2) = 1 < 2$.

$\Rightarrow (x-1)+I \neq 0+I$, $(x+2)+I \neq 0+I$.

But $I = (x-1)(x+2)+I = ((x-1)+I)((x+2)+I)$

Definition A commutative ring R is an integral domain iff R has no zero divisors.

Thus in an integral domain R , $a \in R$, $a \neq 0 \Rightarrow$
and $ab = 0$ for some $b \in R \Rightarrow b = 0$.

Lemma 31.1 Let R be an integral domain. Suppose
 $a \in R$, $a \neq 0$. Then $ax = ay \Rightarrow x = y \quad \forall x, y \in R$

Proof $ax = ay \Rightarrow 0 = ax - ay = a \cdot (x - y)$

Since $a \neq 0$ and R is an integral domain, $x - y = 0$ □

Theorem 31.2 Any finite integral domain is a field.

Proof Let R be an integral domain, $a \in R$, $a \neq 0$.

We want to show: $\exists b \in R$ s.t. $ab = 1$.

Consider $L_a: R \rightarrow R$, $L_a(x) = ax$

If $L_a(x) = L_a(y)$, $ax = ay$.

By Lemma 31.1, $x = y \Rightarrow L_a$ is 1-1

Since R is finite, L_a is also onto.

$\Rightarrow \exists b \in R$ s.t. $L_a(b) = 1$

$\Rightarrow ab = 1$ □

Definition An ideal I in a commutative ring R is prime if $I \neq R$ and

$$ab \in I \Rightarrow a \in I \text{ or } b \in I \quad (\text{or both})$$

Ex $R = \mathbb{Z}$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ a prime, $I = p\mathbb{Z}$ is a prime ideal:

$$\begin{aligned} ab \in p\mathbb{Z} &\Rightarrow ab = pk \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \Rightarrow p \mid (ab) &\Rightarrow (p \mid a \text{ or } p \mid b) \\ &\Rightarrow a \in p\mathbb{Z} \text{ or } b \in p\mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 31.3 (6.4.11 in Goodman) An ideal I in a commutative ring R is prime $\Leftrightarrow R/I$ is an integral domain.

Proof (\Rightarrow) Suppose I is prime, $(a+I)(b+I) = 0+I$ in R/I
 $\Rightarrow ab+I = I \Rightarrow ab \in I \Rightarrow (a \in I \text{ or } b \in I)$
 $\Rightarrow (a+I = 0+I \text{ or } b+I = 0+I)$

(\Leftarrow) Suppose R/I is an integral domain and $ab \in I$. Then $0+I = ab+I = (a+I)(b+I)$

Since R/I is an integral domain, either

$$a+I = 0_{R/I} = I \text{ or } b+I = 0_{R/I} = I$$

In the first case $a \in I$. In the second case $b \in I$.

Ex For any prime $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $p\mathbb{Z}$ is a prime ideal
 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}_p$ is an integral domain.

Remark Fields are integral domains.

Reason: if $ab=0$ and $a \neq 0$, then
 $b = a^{-1}a b = a^{-1}0 = 0$.

Corollary 31.4 In a commutative ring R maximal ideals are prime.

Proof $M \subseteq R$ is maximal $\Rightarrow R/M$ is a field $\Rightarrow R/M$ is an integral domain. $\Rightarrow M$ is prime

WARNING Not all prime ideals are maximal.

Ex $\langle x \rangle = x\mathbb{Z}[x] \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x]$

Note $\langle x \rangle = \ker(f: \mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$
 $p \mapsto p(0)$

1st iso thm $\Rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x]/\langle x \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$

\mathbb{Z} is an integral domain and is not a field.

$\Rightarrow \langle x \rangle$ is not maximal.

In fact $\langle x \rangle \subsetneq \langle 2 \rangle + \langle x \rangle$ since $2 \notin \langle x \rangle$
and $\langle 2 \rangle + \langle x \rangle = \{ a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_n x^n \mid a_0 \text{ is even} \}$
 $\neq \mathbb{Z}[x]$.