

Last time Given a set X

3.1

$$\text{Sym}(X) = \{f : X \rightarrow X \mid f \text{ is invertible}\}$$

$\text{Sym}(X)$ is a group with the group operation = composition

'Special case': $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\text{We write } S_n = \text{Sym}(\{1, \dots, n\})$$

the group of permutation on n letters.

An element $\sigma \in S_n$ is called a permutation.

Claim S_n has $n!$ elements

(we write $|S_n| = n!$)

Proof For $\sigma \in S_n$, $\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(n)$ are all distinct.
(otherwise σ is not invertible)

There are n choices for $\sigma(1)$

$n-1$ choices for $\sigma(2)$

$n-2$ // $\sigma(3)$

1 choice for $\sigma(n)$

\Rightarrow total number of choices is $n \cdot (n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot 2 \cdot 1 = n!$

□

Given $\sigma \in S_n$ we can picture it as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & n \\ \sigma(1) & \sigma(2) & \sigma(3) & & \sigma(n) \end{pmatrix}$$

This is too cumbersome. Better notation:

Write σ as a product of disjoint cycles.

$$\text{Ex } \sigma = (1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5 \ 6 \ 7)$$

What does σ do?

$$\underbrace{1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 6}_{\text{a cycle}}$$

3 \rightarrow a cycle

5 \rightarrow 7 a cycle.

$$\text{So we write } \sigma = (1 \ 4 \ 2 \ 6) (3) (5 \ 7) = (1 \ 4 \ 2 \ 6) (5 \ 7).$$

Note 1) we can interpret each cycle separately as a permutation:

$$(1426) \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 4 & 6 & 3 & 2 & 5 & 1 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(3) \leftrightarrow \text{id} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(57) \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 7 & 6 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

Ex 2) $\sigma = (1426) \circ (57)$
 ↳ composition of permutations

Ex Write $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 \\ 5 & 12 & 2 & 1 & 9 & 11 & 4 & 3 & 7 & 10 & 13 & 8 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$ as a product of disjoint cycles.

Solution $\sigma = (15974) \circ (21283) \circ (61113)$

In this notation $S_2 = \{\text{id}, (12)\}$

$$S_3 = \{\text{id}, (12), (13), (23), (123), (132)\}.$$

Our goal Thm (Goodman, 1.5.3) Any permutation in S_n can be written uniquely (up to order) as a product of disjoint cycles.

Goodman is vague in his definition of "cycle" and "disjoint".

Definition or σ in a cycle of length r if $\exists x_1, \dots, x_r \in \mathbb{N} - n$ all distinct such that

$$\sigma(x_i) = x_{i+1} \quad \text{if } 1 \leq i < r$$

$$\sigma(x_r) = x_1$$

and $\sigma(x) = x$ for $x \neq x_1, \dots, x_r$.

We write $\sigma = (x_1 x_2 \dots x_r)$.

Definition Two permutations $\sigma, \tau \in S_n$ are disjoint if $\forall x \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$$\sigma(x) \neq x \Rightarrow \tau(x) = x$$

$$\tau(x) \neq x \Rightarrow \sigma(x) = x$$

Example $(1\ 2\ 3), (4\ 5) \in S_7$ are disjoint

$(1\ 2\ 3), (4\ 2\ 5) \in S_7$ are not disjoint

(If $\sigma(x) = x$ we say " σ fixes x ". If $\sigma(x) \neq x$ we say " σ moves x ".)

Thus σ and τ are disjoint \Leftrightarrow what's moved by one is fixed by the other.

Lemma 3.1 Suppose $\sigma, \tau \in S_n$ are disjoint. Then

$$\sigma \circ \tau = \tau \circ \sigma$$

Proof $\sigma \circ \tau = \tau \circ \sigma \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in \{1, \dots, n\} \quad \sigma(\tau(x)) = \tau(\sigma(x)).$

Now for any $x \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ either

- (i) x is fixed by σ and τ
- (ii) x is moved by σ (hence fixed by τ)
- (iii) x is moved by τ (hence fixed by σ)

In case (i),

$$\sigma(\tau(x)) = \sigma(x) = x = \tau(x) = \tau(\sigma(x))$$

In case (ii)

$$y = \sigma(x) \neq x.$$

Since σ is invertible, it's 1-1 $\Rightarrow \sigma(x) \neq \sigma(y) = \sigma(\sigma(x))$

$\Rightarrow \sigma(x)$ is moved by σ . $\Rightarrow \sigma(x)$ is fixed by τ

$$\Rightarrow \tau(\sigma(x)) = \sigma(x)$$

On the other hand $\tau(x) = x \Rightarrow \tau(x) = \tau(\tau(x))$

$$\Rightarrow \tau(\sigma(x)) = \sigma(\tau(x)).$$

Case (iii) is the same as (ii) with σ and τ switched.

We now prove

Theorem 3.1 For any finite set X any $\pi \in \text{Sym}(X)$

can be written uniquely up to order as a product of disjoint cycles.

Proof We need to prove existence of a decomposition into cycles and its uniqueness.

To prove existence we use induction on $n = |X|$, the # of elements of X .

If $|X| = 1$ $\text{Sym}(X)$ has only one element, Id_x .

Not much to prove

Suppose theorem holds for every set Y with $|Y| \leq n_5$?

X is a set with $n+1$ elements, $\pi \in \text{Sym}(X)$, $\pi \neq \text{Id}$

Since $\pi \neq \text{Id}$, $\exists x_0 \in X$ s.t. $\pi(x_0) \neq x_0$

let $x_1 = \pi(x_0)$, $x_2 = \pi(x_1) = \pi(\pi(x_0))$, $x_3 = \pi(x_2)$, ...

Since X a finite, not all x_i 's are distinct.

Let k be the largest integer so that

x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k are distinct.

Claim $\pi(x_k) = x_0$.

Reason Since $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1} = \pi(x_k)$

are not distinct and x_0, \dots, x_k are distinct, $\pi(x_i) = x_i$ for some $i < k$.

If $i > 0$, $x_i = \pi(x_{i-1})$.

$\Rightarrow \pi(x_k) = \pi(x_{i-1})$. But $\pi \in \text{Id}_{n+1} \Rightarrow x_k = x_{i-1}$ and $i < k$.

This contradicts that x_0, \dots, x_k are all distinct.

Now let $X_1 = \{x_0, \dots, x_k\}$ $Y = \{x \in X \mid x \notin X_1\}$.

Then $\pi(X_1) \subseteq X_1$ and $\pi(Y) \subseteq Y$ [Why?]

(in fact $\pi: x_0 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow x_k$)

Now set

$$\pi_1(x) = \begin{cases} \pi(x) & x \in X_1 \\ x & x \in Y \end{cases} \quad \pi_2(x) = \begin{cases} x & x \in X_1 \\ \pi(x) & x \in Y \end{cases}$$

Then π_1, π_2 are disjoint permutations and $\pi = \pi_1 \circ \pi_2$

By inductive assumption (since $|Y| = |X| - (k+1)$)

$\pi_2: Y \rightarrow Y$ is a product of disjoint cycles.

π_1 a cycle.

$\Rightarrow \pi = \pi_1 \circ \pi_2$ a product of disjoint cycles.

